## APPEAL NO. 010659

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on March 9, 2001. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issue by determining that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_\_. The claimant appeals and requests reversal based on the grounds of sufficiency of the evidence. The respondent (carrier) responds and urges affirmance.

## DECISION

Affirmed.

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_\_. In his decision and order, the hearing officer noted that he thought the claimant's credibility was "limited." Thus, the absence of the carrier's independent evidence controverting the testimony of the claimant with respect to the alleged causal connection between the claimant's work duties and her alleged injury is not determinative.

Pursuant to Section 410.165(a) of the 1989 Act, the hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. The hearing officer resolves the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence and determines what facts have been established from the conflicting evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ); St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company v. Escalera, 385 S.W.2d 477 (Tex. Civ. App.-San Antonio 1964, writ ref'd n.r.e.). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). This tribunal will not disrupt the contested findings of a hearing officer unless they are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We do not find them so here.

|                                    | Gary L. Kilgore<br>Appeals Judge |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CONCUR:                            |                                  |
| Judy L. S. Barnes<br>Appeals Judge |                                  |
| Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge      |                                  |

For these reasons, we affirm the hearing officer's decision and order.